

GNG 1103 – Engineering Design

Deliverable C – Design Criteria

Team B05

Name	Student #
Fell, David	300186311
Galagedara, Niki	8728488
Hanna, Andro	300211677
Picard, Jacob	300207379

Date Submitted: October 11, 2020

Professor: Dr. Muslim Majeed

Faculty of Engineering

University of Ottawa

Introduction

On September 25, 2020 we had the privilege of meeting with Patrick Lalonde of EllisDon and Kenny Leon of the Canadian Construction Association, to establish the problem at hand and the project we must progress with. Since that meeting, we have had several discussions about our elaboration forward. In this report, we have defined a list of prioritized design criteria, compiled a set of technical benchmarking, and determined target specifications to be used in the development of our final solution.

C. 1: List of Prioritized Design Criteria

#	Need (by importance)	Design Criteria
	5: blue - 4: pink - 3: yellow - 2: green	
1	Ability to view 3D Building Information Models (BIM) in Visual Augmented Reality	App/software interface to complete BIM
2	Compatible with common Mobile Devices	Compatible with IOS and Android
3	Software application must be open source or free to use	Cost-free
4	Navigation and interface must be user friendly	Clarity and simplicity of the interface
5	Training and implementation documentation must be provided	User friendly, easy to use
6	Presented through VR or AR on a mobile device	Compatible with IOS and Android

7	Easily operated by any individual	Clarity and simplicity of interface
	regardless of technical skill level	
8	Solution is based on existing free to use	App/software
	software or developed-in house	
9	View the insides of walls to be able to	Clarity and usability of the interface
	see different electro-mechanical	
	components.	
10	A 11.1 11 / COL	
10	Available online/offline	Available wherever the user is
11	Be on forefront of technology	Compatible with IOS and Android, easy to use,
	20 011 1010110110 01 00011110108j	free, available wherever the user is.
12	Use Google Cardboard or similar device	Compatible with IOS and Android
13	Display markups (dimensions,	Clarity of interface
	annotations, etc.)	
14	Take obstructions into consideration	Safety of users
1.	Take obstructions into consideration	App/software interface
15	Ability to see site even when worker is	Available wherever the user is
	not present (google maps)	

C. 2: Technical Benchmarking

Need #	Metric	Importance	Revizto	PlanGrid	FieldWire
2	Operating	5	PC, IOS,	Windows,	Web IOS,
	System		Android	IOS, Android	Android

4	Yes/No	3	Yes	Yes	No
7	Yes/No	5	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	Yes/No	4	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	Yes/No	4	Yes	Yes	Yes
13	Yes/No	2	No	No	No

C. 2. 1: Advanced Benchmarking

	Competitors					
Specifications	Revizto	PlanGrid	FieldWire			
Cost	\$395 / month per user	\$182 / month	\$136.54 / month per user			
Clarity and simplicity of	-Easy to share -Synchronizing	- Easy to share	-Easy-to-use mobile editing			
interface	clashes	- Mark up	-Colloborate with owners			
	-2D and 3D overlay	- Sheet compares	and contractors easily			
User friendly	- Clash detector and problem solver	- Submittals logs	-Location-based work			
	- Internal	- RFI	-Real-time communication			
	communication	- Access BIM models	-Easy to use construction			
	-Simplified logic search function	from everywhere	scheduling software			
	-Object tracker	-Supports all file types	-Offline editing			
Safety of documents	-Issue Tracker function	- Cloud saving	-Cloud saving			
	-Cloud sync and sharing	- Mark up issues	-Servers are held in undisclosed facilities			

			with anti-intrusion
			systems
Total	11	6	9

C. 2. 2: Functional Requirements

Design Specifications	Relation	Value	Units	Verification Method
Ability to view 3D Building	=	Yes	N/A	Test
Information Models (BIM) in Visual				
Augmented Reality				
Compatible with common Mobile	=	Yes	N/A	Test/ Use IOS and/or
Devices				Android
Software application must be open source or free to use	=	0	\$	Estimate/ Final Check
Navigation and interface must be user friendly	=	Yes	N/A	Test/Evaluate User
Training and implementation documentation must be provided	=	Yes	N/A	Instructional Reports
Presented through VR or AR on a mobile device	=	Yes	N/A	Test/Evaluate platform
Easily operated by any individual regardless of technical skill level	=	Yes	N/A	Test/Evaluate User

C. 2. 3: Constraints

Design Specifications	Relation	Value	Units	Verification Method
------------------------------	----------	-------	-------	---------------------

Available on IOS and Android	=	Yes	N/A	Report
Cost	=	0	\$	Receipts of purchases, in app
				purchases

C. 2. 4: Non-functional Requirements

Design Specifications	Relation	Value	Units	Verification
				Method
Take obstructions into consideration	<	Yes	N/A	Test
Use Google Cardboard or similar device	>	Yes	N/A	Test
Display markups (dimensions, annotations, etc.)	=	Yes	N/A	Test

C. 3: Target Specifications:

Specification	Ideal and Acceptable x values
Cost	Free
Clarity and simplification	Very clear
User friendly	Extremely easy to use
Safety of documents	Absolutely safe

Conclusion

It is with great conviction that we believe we were able to successfully interpret our clients' remarks into prioritized design criteria and specifications. Upon gaining a better understanding of their information, as well as content learned in class, we believe we have produced an effective and sufficient report for this deliverable. The client meeting truly had the largest impact on the development of all aspects of this report, and by prioritizing all the facets, we were able to conclusively decide on the relative importance of the standards we wish to reach in the finalization of this product.