# Deliverable D:

Detailed Design, Prototype I and BOM

#### University of Ottawa GNG 2101 B October 8<sup>th</sup>, 2020

Adam Walters 300109768 Eric Chen 300136076 Brendan Sommers 300115531 Jarett Goodwin 300074553 Tianchen Cai 300127732

#### Table of Contents:

Introduction	2
Summary of Client Feedback	3
Functional Decompositions	3
Components	3
In Combination	4
Software Prototypes	4
App Flowcharts	5
App Mockups	13
Critical product assumptions	14
Physical Prototype	14
Visual Designs	14
Explanation	14
Prototype Analysis and Evaluation	15
Client Meet Preparation	16
Bill of Materials (BOM)	16
Justification:	16
Conclusion	17

### Introduction

The purpose of this document is to summarize the feedback we received from client interview 2 and provide a low fidelity prototype that will be analyzed and improved upon. In this document, you will find a functional decomposition of the physical beacons prototype along with a visual CAD render showcasing the chosen design. The physical beacon prototype was then put through a stress test via Solidworks in order to gauge how the final product will hold up and determine if another material is needed. The team has also created various flowcharts to help display app functionality while also giving the team an easier path to develop the backend coding of the app. These prototypes were then tested and then furthermore compared to the target specifications outlined in the previous deliverables to give the team a grasp of what must be improved upon. A bill of materials was also added to the end of the document which displays the budget that has been created for the 4 beacons prototypes. By creating and archiving this document, the team has started to follow the plan set out in Deliverable C while also overcoming any setbacks that have been encountered.

## 1. Summary of Client Feedback

- Happy with the design we presented to them
- Is ok with the use of only Android for the app
- Approved the use of three beacons, and more if the budget allows for it
- Advised us that our design may have to be adapted to work around the physical constraints of the floorplan
- Advised us to use batteries rather than ethernet for power
- We will have to adapt our design to work around and through physical barriers, that may obstruct the Bluetooth signals

## 2. Functional Decompositions

#### a. Components

Below is a decomposition of each component of the prototype

• Battery



Case



Microcontroller



b. In Combination

This is the prototype of all the components together



### 3. Software Prototypes

The two sets of software prototypes displayed below show two important parts of the design of the app. Firstly, the flowcharts depict the functional breakdown of the app's screens and sub-functions to help get a better idea of how the app's different components will work together. This enables the team to have a pseudo-code to use as a reference when creating the application to remind themselves of how each function will work, and which functions are dependent on others. Secondly, the app mockups provide an example of the general layout and look of the app in order to get more effective feedback from the client in regards to optimizing the layout of the first actual app prototype to come later. This feedback will be crucial in determining the aesthetic of the user interface and how user-friendly it will be. Since the target customers for this project include visually impaired users, it is absolutely crucial to use high contrasting, large symbols to indicate the most critical information.

The prototypes are shown beginning on the next page.

# **App Flowcharts**

Group B32 - Library Wayfinding

### Notes

- In an Android app, an "Activity" generally refers to a single app screen. Thus, switching between screens or views of an app is equivalent to switching activities.
- The Back button is either the pressing of the phone's hardware/onscreen back button, or the pressing of a separately displayed back button in-app.
- The other buttons are displayed in-app.

## **Main App Flowchart**

MainActivity

• App home screen.



### ViewAnnouncementsActivity

• App screen for viewing announcements broadcast by an employee.



#### NavigationActivity

- App screen for navigating to a location.
- Called by MainActivity.



### navigate()

- Sub-function for navigating to a location.
- Called by NavigationActivity.



#### determineLocation()

- Sub-function for determining the user's current location.
- Called by NavigationActivity, navigate() and LocationInfoActivity.



#### LocationInfoActivity

- App screen responsible for displaying information about any tracked location stored in the database.
- Called by NavigationActivity and MainActivity.



### HelpActivity

• App screen for reading more about how to use the app.



### SettingsActivity

• App screen for editing app preferences.



### App Mockups











### 4. Critical product assumptions

- The unit can be mounted to the wall as one unit (is one unit)
- The unit's casing can be penetrated by Bluetooth signals
- The unit casing fits within the size and weight target specifications

### 5. Physical Prototype

- Visual Designs



Sketch of the Arduino Case and its components

CAD Model of the case based on the sketch created previously

#### - Explanation

Our prototype is going to utilize three main components. The first part is the case. The case is going to be used to hold all of our components together, as well as help secure it to the ceiling or wall. In the sketch, it is marked in blue and has the main function of holding the other components together. The CAD design on the right shows the sketch in combination with the strategy to mount it to the wall or ceiling. The second main component is the microcontroller and Bluetooth shield. These are labeled in light blue and green on the sketch. The purpose of these is to transmit a Bluetooth signal to the app on the cellular device. The last component is the power source. This is represented by the red battery in the sketch, and its purpose is to supply power to the microcontroller.

### 6. Prototype Analysis and Evaluation

The team has carried out a few tests to compare the first prototype of the case and compared it to the previously determined target specifications. To start, the team has created a CAD model to determine the mass and dimensions of the prototype. The mass of this case is estimated to be 610g using the software provided by Solidworks and the material properties of PLA. Additionally, the cardboard cutout was measured to be 100g. Although the case in the CAD model is higher than the ideal specification, it should be noted that the dimensions of the case are in reference to the dimensions of an Arduino UNO. The team plans to move towards using ESP32 modules which are much smaller than the Arduino UNO's. This should significantly reduce the mass of the case, meeting the target specification of the weight. Next, the Solidworks model was measured to determine its size. Once again, because the reference dimensions were used from the Arduino UNO datasheet, the dimensions are greater than that of the target specifications. The current prototype measures approximately: 180 mm x 163 mm x 38 mm. In this case, two of the dimensions are larger than the target, but if the team moves to the ESP32 board, the dimensions will be reduced significantly. The figure below shows a stress test performed on the case during a drop from three metres using Solidworks. This test analyzes the durability of each point on the case by calculating its yield strength after the impact.



Strength test created, dropping the case from 3 metres. This test was performed using software included in Solidworks 2019.

Finally, the team was unable to calculate the expected lifespan of the batteries for the Arduino being used since the team members could not acquire a multimeter and other materials needed to build a circuit using the batteries. It would have been preferable to build a circuit and calculate the current in a parallel series to estimate the lifespan of batteries.

### 7. Client Meet Preparation

During the next client meeting, the team plans to have a solid, medium-fidelity prototype. This would entail the group to have a 3D printed version of our beacon prototype along with the proper Bluetooth shields and the proper Arduino electronics from Makerspace. Software-wise we plan to have an early version of the app with low functionality but with a polished layout which will allow the client to get a grasp of how easy/hard the application will be to navigate and provide them with a better sense of the solution that we are aiming to provide. For the next client meeting our group plans to smooth out any problems they have with our current designs and further gain a better understanding of how our app/beacon combo is going to work in the library which will in turn point the team in the right direction for the final product presentation.

### 8. Bill of Materials (BOM)

Material	Location	Quantity	Unit Cost	Extended Cost
3D printed case	From Makerlab	4	\$ -	\$ -
Battery Clips	From Makerlab	4	\$ 1.00	\$ 4.00
AA Batteries	From Makerlab	16	\$ 0.60	\$ 9.60
M3 Screws	McMaster-Carr (93640A125)	16	\$ 0.19	\$ 3.04
M3 Heat Inserts	McMaster-Carr (94180A331)	16	\$ 0.14	\$ 2.24
MCU + Bluetooth	From Makerlab	4	\$ 17.00	\$ 68.00
Shipping			\$ -	\$ -
Subtotal				\$ 86.88
Taxes				\$ 11.29
Grand Total				\$ 98.17

\*The BOM listed below is for 4 beacons

#### Justification:

The BOM featured above focuses on the physical components of the prototype, as the software being used is free. Overall this BOM is centered around the building of four beacons, although the minimum number required to perform the task is three. Item one on the list is the 3D printed case, which is free, as printing PLA is free of charge in the Makerspace at uOttawa. Item two is the battery clips, which will be used to safely secure the batteries in the case. These will be purchased from Makerlab, at a unit cost of \$1.00. Item 3 is the required power source to make the beacon work. We have chosen to use AA batteries, as they provide the necessary 5V,

to the microcontroller. Each beacon requires 4 batteries, at a unit cost of \$0.60. Items 4 and 5 are required to mount the beacon to the roof or wall. Concrete screws and heat inserts were chosen, as the majority of the walls and ceilings in Morisset Library are made out of concrete. The Unit cost for both is \$0.33, and a total of 4 of each per beacon is required. The last item on the BOM is the Microcontroller Unit and Bluetooth Shield. These components are the backbone of the beacon as they output the Bluetooth signal that the cellular devices pick up. The unit cost for these ranges from \$9.00-\$17.00, so it has been put into the budget at \$17.00, to account for the maximum cost. If Makerlab can provide cheaper MCU's and Bluetooth Shields, the extra money will go towards making more beacons.

## Conclusion

This document showcases the final design concepts chosen to pursue based upon the concepts come up with in the past deliverable. This document broke down the physical and software prototypes created, all of the subsystems, and the flow diagrams. In the future, this document will act as an effective reference for each team member to review the progression and growth from prototype to prototype. This document will also serve as a reference guide to the cost associated with the project. The team is excited to continue developing this project to improve the Morisset Library's ability to cater to its visually impaired customers.